

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/696,300	10/29/2003	Hong-Yi Wu	N1085-00189	5122
54657	7590 10/02/2006		EXAMINER	
DUANE MORRIS LLP			BASHORE, ALAIN L	
	MENT (TSMC) 7TH STREET	• .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/		
Office Action Summary		10/696,300	WU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alain L. Bashore	1762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-28 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers	·				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	tage		
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	a.c.m. ipproducti			

Application/Control Number: 10/696,300 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse in the reply filed on 7-18-06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no serious burden would result from examining all claims. This is not found persuasive because the different scope of inventions and their different status are indicated.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 17-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 7-18-06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-2, 4-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the specific liquids and substrates disclosed does not reasonably provide enablement for any known liquid and any known

Application/Control Number: 10/696,300 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

substrate. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1, 10, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preamble of claims 1 and 12 recite a method for controlling dummy dispense of liquid, but the final recitation recites only signal generation. This makes the entire claim vague and confusing.

The recitation of "is processed" is vague and confusing since this has not meets and bounds.

The recitation of "to determine whether a dummy dispense is required" is vague and indefinite because it is not clear if "determining" is simply "comparing" or if more is involved.

In claims 10 and 12 is lack of antecedent basis respectively for: "the name of the liquid" and "the name of the volatile solution".

Application/Control Number: 10/696,300 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for

all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over the admitted prior art (paragraphs 0003 and 0004) in view of Yoshizawa et al.

The admitted prior art discloses a method for controlling dummy dispense of

liquid. A time at which a substrate is processed, a time at which liquid is dispensed, a

time at which the substrate is processed is a move-in time of the substrate, the time at

which the liquid is dispensed comprises a last time at which the liquid is dispensed; and

a dummy dispense signal are all disclosed. A photoresist solution that is volatile is also.

disclosed.

There is not disclosed by the admitted prior art:

recording times for substrate processing and liquid dispensing;

comparing the time at which the substrate is processed and the

time at which the liquid is dispensed to determine whether a dummy

dispense is required; and,

recording a recipe for dispensing the liquid and the name of the liquid.

Yoshizawa et al discloses recording times for processing in a manufacturing environment and comparing times to determine if a procedure must be altered (col 3, lines 13-58). Yoshizawa et al also discloses recording a "recipe" in a manufacturing environment (col 2, lines 9-28). Film formation for photolithography is disclosed as one type of manufacturing environment (col 1, lines 34-35).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include recording times for substrate processing and liquid dispensing, then comparing the time at which the substrate is processed and the time at which the liquid is dispensed to determine whether a dummy dispense is required because Yoshizawa et al teaches collection and monitor data for a successful manufacturing environment (col 2, lines 58-68).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include recording a recipe for dispensing the liquid and the name of the liquid because Yoshizawa et al teaches conditions utilized in the manufacturing environment as important (col 2, lines 9-28).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,300

Art Unit: 1762

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762 Page 6